

## EXTREME INVARIANT MEANS WITHOUT MINIMAL SUPPORT<sup>(1)</sup>

BY

LONNIE FAIRCHILD

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $S$  be a left amenable semigroup. We show that if  $S$  has a subset satisfying a certain condition, then there is an extreme left invariant mean on  $S$  whose support is not a minimal closed invariant subset of  $\beta S$ . Then we show that all infinite solvable groups and countably infinite locally finite groups have such subsets.

1. **Introduction.** If  $S$  is a left amenable semigroup with the discrete topology and  $\beta S$  its Stone-Čech compactification, then  $S$  acts on  $\beta S$  by the continuous extension to  $\beta S$  of left translations by elements of  $S$ . There is a one-to-one correspondence between the ergodic measures on  $\beta S$  and the extreme points of the set of left invariant means on  $m(S)$ . It is easy to show that every minimal closed invariant subset of  $\beta S$  is the support of at least one ergodic measure. Wilde, in [8], gives a condition for the support of every ergodic measure on  $\beta S$  to be a minimal closed invariant set, and Chou has proved that there are ergodic measures on  $\beta N$  without minimal support [1].

In §3 we show that a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of such nonminimally supported ergodic measures is the following:  $S$  has a subset  $A$  such that  $\phi(\chi_A) > 0$  for some left invariant mean  $\phi$  on  $m(S)$ , but  $\psi(\chi_{K^{-1}A}) < 1$  for every left invariant mean  $\psi$  and every finite subset  $K$  of  $S$ . In §4 we prove that every countably infinite locally finite group contains such a subset and that every infinite solvable group has a subset  $A$  with the following stronger property:  $\phi(\chi_A) > 0$  for some left invariant mean  $\phi$  on  $m(S)$ , and for each positive integer  $k$  there is a finite set  $S_k \subset S$  such that  $S_k s \not\subset K^{-1}A$  for every  $s \in S$  and every subset  $K$  of  $S$  with  $|K| \leq k$ .

2. **Preliminaries.** We will follow Day [2] for notation and terminology on invariant means. Let  $S$  be a semigroup,  $m(S)$  the Banach space of bounded real functions on  $S$  with the sup norm,  $m(S)^*$  the dual of  $m(S)$ , and  $l_1(S)$  the space of real functions  $\theta$  on  $S$  such that  $\|\theta\|_1 = \sum_{s \in S} |\theta(s)|$  is finite. Let  $Q$  denote the isometry from  $l_1(S)$  into  $m(S)^*$  given by  $Q\theta(f) = \sum_{s \in S} f(s)\theta(s)$  for  $\theta \in l_1(S)$  and  $f \in m(S)$ . If we identify each element  $s$  of  $S$  with the characteristic function  $\chi_{\{s\}}$  in  $l_1(S)$ , we get  $Qs(f) = f(s)$  for all  $f \in m(S)^*$ . For  $s$  in  $S$ , the operator  $l_s$  from  $m(S)$  into  $m(S)$  is

---

Presented to the Society, April 4, 1971; received by the editors June 30, 1971.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 43A07.

Key words and phrases. Amenable semigroup, extreme point, Stone-Čech compactification, minimal invariant set.

<sup>(1)</sup> This paper contains results from the author's Ph. D. thesis (University of Illinois, June 1970). The author wishes to thank her advisor, Professor M. M. Day, for his help.

Copyright © 1973, American Mathematical Society

defined by  $l_s f(t) = f(st)$  for all  $t$  in  $S$  and  $f$  in  $m(S)$ . Let  $l_s^*$  be the adjoint of  $l_s$ .

$M(S)$  will denote the set of means on  $m(S)$ , and  $MI(S)$  the set of left invariant means. We will regard  $\beta S$  as the set of multiplicative means with the  $w^*$  topology. If  $U$  is a subset of  $\beta S$ ,  $U^-$  will denote the  $w^*$  closure of  $U$ . If  $A \subset S$ , then  $(QA)^-$  is open in  $\beta S$  and all the open and closed subsets of  $\beta S$  are of this form.  $\beta S$  is extremally disconnected and the open and closed sets form a base for the topology. For other properties of  $\beta S$ , see Gillman and Jerison [3].

The Arens multiplication  $\odot$  on  $m(S)^*$  is defined as follows: If  $\mu, \nu \in m(S)^*$  and  $f \in m(S)$ , let  $\mu \odot \nu(f) = \mu(\nu \odot f)$  where  $\nu \odot f(s) = \nu(l_s f)$  for all  $s \in S$ . This multiplication makes  $m(S)^*$  into a Banach algebra. We will use the following properties of  $\odot$  (see Day [2]):

(1)  $M(S)$  and  $\beta S$  are each closed under  $\odot$ . (2) If  $s \in S$  and  $\mu \in m(S)^*$ , then  $Qs \odot \mu = l_s^* \mu$ . (3)  $Q(st) = Qs \odot Qt$  for all  $s, t \in S$ . (4) If  $\nu \in m(S)^*$  is fixed, then the mapping  $\mu \rightarrow \mu \odot \nu$  is  $w^*$ - $w^*$  continuous. If  $\theta \in l_1(S)$  is fixed, the mapping  $\mu \rightarrow Q\theta \odot \mu$  is  $w^*$ - $w^*$  continuous. (5) If  $\mu \in MI(S)$  and  $\nu \in M(S)$  then  $\mu \odot \nu \in MI(S)$  and  $\nu \odot \mu = \mu$ .

Let  $C(\beta S)$  be the space of real-valued continuous functions on  $\beta S$ . Let  $T$  be the isometric algebra isomorphism  $C(\beta S)$  onto  $m(S)$  given by  $Tf(s) = f(Qs)$  for all  $s \in S$  and  $f \in m(S)$ . Define  $P$  from  $m(S)^*$  onto  $C(\beta S)^*$  to be the adjoint of  $T$ . By the Riesz representation theorem we can regard  $C(\beta S)^*$  as the space of regular Borel measures on  $\beta S$ .

If  $K \subset S$  and  $U \subset \beta S$ , let  $QK^{-1} \odot U = \{\mu \in \beta S : Qs \odot \mu \in U, \text{ for some } s \in K\}$ . If  $A \subset S$ , let  $K^{-1}A = \{t \in S : st \in A \text{ for some } s \in K\}$ . If  $\mu \in m(S)^*$ , then  $\mu \in MI(S)$  iff  $P\mu$  is a probability measure on  $\beta S$  such that  $P\mu(Qs^{-1} \odot U) = P\mu(U)$  for each  $s \in S$  and each Baire subset  $U$  of  $\beta S$ .  $P\mu$  is called *invariant* if  $\mu$  is left invariant. If  $S$  is an infinite right cancellation semigroup and  $\mu \in MI(S)$  then  $P\mu(QS) = 0$ .

An invariant probability measure  $\lambda$  on  $\beta S$  is called *ergodic* if  $\lambda(A) = 0$  or  $\lambda(A) = 1$  for all Baire sets  $A$  which satisfy  $\lambda(A \Delta (Qs^{-1} \odot A)) = 0$  for each  $s \in S$ . A left invariant mean  $\mu$  on  $m(S)$  is an extreme point of the convex set  $MI(S)$  iff  $P\mu$  is ergodic. (See Phelps [7, p. 81].)

A nonempty subset  $U$  of  $\beta S$  is said to be *invariant* if  $Qs \odot U \subset U$  for all  $s \in S$ . In this case we have  $\omega \odot U \subset U$  for all  $\omega \in \beta S$ .  $U$  is called *minimal* if it is closed and invariant and minimal with respect to this property. If  $\omega \in \beta S$ , let  $o(\omega) = \{Qs \odot \omega : s \in S\}$ . Then  $o(\omega)^-$  is a closed invariant set.

If  $S$  is left amenable and  $F$  is a closed invariant set, then for each  $\omega \in F$  and  $\mu \in MI(S)$ ,  $P(\mu \odot \omega)$  is an invariant measure with support contained in  $F$ . The following proposition was proved by Wilde and Witz [9, p. 583] for the case where  $S$  has two-sided cancellation.

**2.1 Proposition.** *If  $S$  is a semigroup and  $\mu \in MI(S)$  then the support of  $P\mu$  is an invariant set.*

**Proof.** Suppose  $\omega \in \text{supp } P\mu$  and  $s \in S$ . Let  $QA^-$  be a basic open neighborhood of  $Qs \odot \omega$ . Then  $Qs^{-1} \odot QA^-$  is open and contains  $\omega$ . Thus,  $0 < P\mu(Qs^{-1} \odot QA^-) = P\mu(QA^-)$ . Since  $QA^-$  was arbitrary, we get  $Qs \odot \omega \in \text{supp } P\mu$ .

We say that an element  $\omega$  of  $\beta S$  is a *left almost periodic* point provided that for every neighborhood  $U$  of  $\omega$  there is a subset  $A$  of  $S$  satisfying: (1) there exists a finite subset  $K$  of  $S$  such that  $S = K^{-1}A$ , and (2)  $QA \odot \omega \subset U$ .

**3. Minimal sets and supports of invariant measures.** In this section we consider the relations among the set  $A^S$  of almost periodic points in  $\beta S$ , the set  $B^S$  of elements of  $\beta S$  which belong to some minimal set, and the set  $K^S$  of points which are in the support of some invariant measure. We prove that  $A^S = B^S$  and  $A^S \subset K^S$  for any left amenable semigroup  $S$ . The main result is Proposition 3.4 in which we show that if  $S$  has a  $C$ -subset, then  $K^S \setminus A^S \neq \emptyset$ .

From now on  $S$  is always assumed to be a left amenable semigroup. It is proved in Gottschalk and Hedlund [4, p. 32] that if  $S$  is a group then  $A^S \subset B^S$ . We extend this by the following proposition.

**3.1 Proposition.** *If  $S$  is any left amenable semigroup, then  $A^S = B^S$ .*

**Proof.** First, let  $T$  be a minimal subset of  $\beta S$  and assume  $\omega \in T$ . Since  $T$  is minimal,  $T = o(\omega)^-$ . Let  $U$  be an open neighborhood of  $\omega$  and suppose there exists an element  $\nu$  in  $T \setminus (Qs^{-1} \odot U)$ . If  $s \in S$ , then  $Qs \odot \nu$  is in  $T$  since  $T$  is invariant. If  $Qs \odot \nu$  is in  $Qs^{-1} \odot U$ , there exists  $t \in S$  such that  $Q(ts) \odot \nu = Qt \odot Qs \odot \nu \in U$ . Then we get  $\nu \in Q(ts)^{-1} \odot U$ , which contradicts our assumption that  $\nu$  is not in  $Qs^{-1} \odot U$ . Hence we must have  $Qs \odot \nu \in T \setminus (Qs^{-1} \odot U)$ , so  $T \setminus (Qs^{-1} \odot U)$  is a closed invariant set. Since  $T$  is minimal, this implies that  $T \cap (Qs^{-1} \odot U) = \emptyset$ . But then  $\omega$  is not in  $Qs^{-1} \odot U$  and  $o(\omega) \cap U = \emptyset$ . This is a contradiction since  $U$  is open and  $\omega \in T = o(\omega)^-$ . Therefore,  $T \setminus (Qs^{-1} \odot U) = \emptyset$  and  $T \subset Qs^{-1} \odot U$ . Since  $T$  is compact, there is a finite subset  $K$  of  $S$  such that  $T \subset QK^{-1} \odot U$ . Let  $A = \{s \in S: Qs \odot \omega \in U\}$ . If  $s \in S$ , there is a  $k \in K$  such that  $Q(ks) \odot \omega = Qk \odot Qs \odot \omega \in U$ , so  $ks \in A$ . Hence  $S = K^{-1}A$  and  $\omega \in A^S$ .

Now, assume  $\omega \in A^S$  and let  $T$  be a minimal subset of  $o(\omega)^-$ . Suppose  $\omega$  is not in  $T$ . Let  $U$  be an open neighborhood of  $\omega$  such that  $U^- \cap T = \emptyset$ .  $S$  has a subset  $A$  and a finite subset  $K$  such that  $QA \odot \omega \subset U$  and  $S = K^{-1}A$ . Since  $k \in K$ ,  $s \in S$ , and  $ks \in A$  implies that  $Qs \odot \omega \in Qk^{-1} \odot QA \odot \omega \subset Qk^{-1} \odot U$ , we have  $o(\omega) \subset QK^{-1} \odot U$ . Hence  $o(\omega)^- \subset (QK^{-1} \odot U)^- \subset QK^{-1} \odot U^-$ . If  $k \in K$  and  $(Qk^{-1} \odot U^-) \cap T \neq \emptyset$ , for some  $\mu \in \beta S$  we would have  $Qk \odot \mu \in U^- \cap (Qk \odot T) \subset U^- \cap T = \emptyset$ , which is impossible. This gives  $T \subset (o(\omega)^- \cap T) \subset (QK^{-1} \odot U^-) \cap T = \emptyset$ , which contradicts  $T \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $\omega \in T$ .

**3.2 Corollary.**  $A^S \subset K^S$ .

**Proof.** If  $T$  is minimal and contains the support of  $P\mu$ , then  $T = \text{supp}(P\mu)$ .

If  $A \subset S$ , we define  $\bar{d}(A)$  to be  $\sup\{\phi(\chi_A): \phi \in Ml(S)\}$ . Mitchell [6, p. 253] proved that for each  $f$  in  $m(S)$ , the set  $\{\phi(f): \phi \in Ml(S)\}$  is a closed interval. Hence,  $\bar{d}(A) = 1$  iff  $\phi(\chi_A) = 1$  for some  $\phi \in Ml(S)$ .

If  $A \subset S$ , we call  $A$  a *C-subset* of  $S$  provided that

(a)  $\bar{d}(A) > 0$ , and

(b)  $\bar{d}(K^{-1}A) < 1$  for every finite subset  $K$  of  $S$ .

**3.3 Lemma.** *If  $S$  is a semigroup,  $A \subset S$ , and  $s \in S$ , then  $Qs^{-1} \odot QA^- = (Qs^{-1} \odot QA)^- = Q(s^{-1}A)^-$ .*

**Proof.** Clearly,  $Q(s^{-1}A)^- \subset (Qs^{-1} \odot QA)^- \subset Qs^{-1} \odot QA^-$ . Suppose  $\omega \in Qs^{-1} \odot QA^-$ . Then  $Qs \odot \omega \in QA^-$ . Let  $(Q_{t_\alpha})$  be a net in  $QS$  which converges to  $\omega$ . Then  $\lim Q(st_\alpha) = \lim (Qs \odot Q_{t_\alpha}) = Qs \odot \omega \in QA^-$ . Since  $QA^-$  is open, there exists  $\alpha_0$  such that  $\alpha > \alpha_0$  implies  $Q(st_\alpha) \in QA^-$ . But  $QS \cap QA^- = QA$ , so  $st_\alpha \in A$  for  $\alpha > \alpha_0$  and thus  $t_\alpha \in s^{-1}A$ . Hence  $\omega = \lim Q_{t_\alpha} \in Q(s^{-1}A)^-$ , which shows that  $Qs^{-1} \odot QA^- = Q(s^{-1}A)^-$ .

**3.4 Proposition.** *Suppose  $S$  contains a C-subset  $A$ . Then  $QA^- \cap (A^S)^- = \emptyset$  and  $K^S \cap QA^- \neq \emptyset$  (and thus  $A^S \subsetneq K^S$ ).*

**Proof.** Assume there exists  $\omega \in QA^- \cap A^S$ . Then, since  $QA^-$  is open,  $S$  has a subset  $B$  and a finite subset  $K$  such that  $S = K^{-1}B$  and  $QB \odot \omega \subset QA^-$ . Thus,  $o(\omega) = Q(K^{-1}B) \odot \omega \subset QK^{-1} \odot QB \odot \omega \subset QK^{-1} \odot QA^- = Q(K^{-1}A)^-$ , by Lemma 3.3. Therefore,  $o(\omega)^- \subset Q(K^{-1}A)^-$ . Since  $o(\omega)^-$  is a closed invariant set, there exists  $\phi \in Ml(S)$  such that  $\text{supp}(P\phi) \subset Q(K^{-1}A)^-$ . Then  $\phi(\chi_{K^{-1}A}) = 1$ , which contradicts the definition of C-subset. Thus  $QA^- \cap A^S = \emptyset$ . Since  $QA^-$  is open,  $QA^- \cap (A^S)^- = \emptyset$ . But, since  $\bar{d}(A) > 0$ ,  $QA^- \cap K^S \neq \emptyset$ .

**3.5 Corollary.** *Suppose  $S$  has a C-subset  $A$ . Then there is an extreme point  $\phi$  of  $Ml(S)$  such that the support of  $P\phi$  is not a minimal set.*

**Proof.** There exists  $\psi \in Ml(S)$  with  $\psi(\chi_A) > 0$ , so there is an extreme point  $\phi$  of  $Ml(S)$  with  $\phi(\chi_A) > 0$ . Then  $QA^- \cap \text{supp}(P\phi) \neq \emptyset$ . But  $QA^- \cap A^S = \emptyset$ , so by Proposition 3.1,  $\text{supp}(P\phi)$  is not a minimal set.

Chou implicitly proves 3.4 and 3.5 in [1] for the case where  $S = N$ . Since  $QA^- \cap (A^S)^- = \emptyset$  in Corollary 3.5, we have actually shown that the support of  $P\phi$  properly contains the closure of the set  $\bigcup\{T \subset \text{supp}(P\phi): T \text{ is a minimal set}\}$ . Thus  $\phi$  is not in the  $w^*$  closed convex hull of the set  $\{\mu \in Ml(S): \text{supp}(P\mu) \text{ is a minimal set}\}$ .

**3.6 Proposition.** *Suppose  $S$  does not have any C-subsets. Then  $K^S \subset (A^S)^-$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\omega$  be in  $K^S$  and let  $U$  be an open neighborhood of  $\omega$ . There is a subset  $A$  of  $S$  such that  $\omega \in QA^- \subset U$ . There is a left invariant mean  $\phi$  with  $\phi(\chi_A) > 0$ . Since  $A$  is not a C-subset of  $S$  there is a finite subset  $K$  of  $S$  such

that  $\bar{d}(K^{-1}A) = 1$ . Thus there exists  $\psi \in Ml(S)$  such that  $\text{supp}(P\psi) \subset Q(K^{-1}A)^-$ . Hence there is a minimal set  $T \subset Q(K^{-1}A)^- \subset QK^{-1} \odot QA^-$ . Choose  $\omega_0 \in T$  and  $k \in K$  so that  $QK \odot \omega_0 \in QA^- \subset U$ . Then  $Qk \odot \omega_0 \in T \cap U$ , so  $U \cap A^S \neq \emptyset$  by Proposition 3.1. Since  $U$  was arbitrary, we get  $\omega \in A^S$ .

**4. Semigroups with  $C$ -subsets and  $C'$ -subsets.** In this section we prove the existence of  $C$ -subsets for certain semigroups.

$Z$  will denote the additive group of integers, and  $N$  the positive integers. If  $x, y \in Z$ , let  $[x, y] = \{a \in Z: x \leq a \leq y\}$ . If  $k \in N$ , a  $k$ -chain is a subset  $A$  of  $Z$  such that  $|c - d| \leq k$  whenever  $c$  and  $d$  are two consecutive elements of  $A$ . A  $k$ -chain  $A$  has length  $n$  if  $|A| = n$ . If  $B$  is a set,  $|B|$  denotes the cardinality of  $B$ .

A subset  $A$  of a semigroup  $S$  is called *left thick* if for every finite subset  $K$  of  $S$ , there exists  $s_K \in S$  such that  $Ks_K \subset A$ . We need the following theorem.

**4.1 Theorem (Mitchell [6, p. 257]).** *If  $S$  is a left amenable semigroup and  $A \subset S$ , then  $\bar{d}(A) = 1$  iff  $A$  is left thick.*

A subset  $A$  of  $S$  is said to be a  $C'$ -subset of  $S$  if

(1)  $\bar{d}(A) \geq 0$ , and

(2) for each  $k \in N$ , there exists a finite subset  $S_k$  of  $S$  such that for all  $s' \in S$  and  $K \subset S$  with  $|K| \leq k$  we have  $S_k s' \not\subset K^{-1}A$ .

Condition (2) implies that for each finite set  $K$ ,  $K^{-1}A$  is not left thick, so by Theorem 4.1, every  $C'$ -subset of  $S$  is a  $C$ -subset.

Chou [1] has constructed a  $C$ -subset of  $N$  (or  $Z$ ). We will generalize this construction to show that  $Z$  (or  $N$ ) has a large number of  $C'$ -subsets.

**4.3 Theorem.** *If  $A$  is a left thick subset of  $Z$  (or  $N$ ), then  $A$  contains a  $C'$ -subset  $D$  of  $Z$  (or  $N$ ).*

**Proof.** We will prove the proposition for  $Z$ . Either  $A \cap N$  or  $A \cap (-N)$  is left thick. We will assume  $A \cap N$  is left thick; a symmetrical argument works in the other case.

By the definition of left thickness, there exists  $b_1$  in  $A$  such that  $b_2 = b_1 + 1 \in A$ . Let  $B_1 = \{b_1, b_2\}$ . Assume  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_p$ , where  $p = \sum_{i=1}^k 2^i$ , are defined. There exists  $b_{p+1} \in A$  such that  $b_{p+1} > b_p$  and  $B_{k+1} = [b_{p+1}, b_{p+1} + 2^{k-1} - 1] \subset A$ . Let  $b_{p+j+1} = b_{p+1} + j$  for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{k+1} - 1$ . Then  $B = \bigcup \{B_k: k \in N\}$  is a left thick subset of  $A$ .

Let  $A_1 = \{b_1, b_2, b_3\}$ . Assume we have constructed  $A_1, \dots, A_n$ . Let  $a(n) = |A_n|$  and let  $d(n) = |\{b_i \in B: b_i \leq \sup A_n, b_i \in A_n\}|$ . Define  $A_{n+1} = A_n \cup \{b_{a(n)+d(n)+n+j}: b_j \in A_n\} \cup \{b_{2a(n)+2d(n)+2n+j}: b_j \in A_n\}$ . Let  $D = \bigcup \{A_i: i \in N\}$ . If we let  $J_n = \{i: b_i \in A_n\}$  for each  $n$  in  $N$ , then we get  $J_{n+1} = J_n \cup (J_n + n + \sup J_n) \cup (J_n + 2n + 2 \sup J_n)$ .

For  $n$  in  $N$ , define  $\phi_n$  in  $M(Z)$  by  $\phi_n(f) = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n f(b_i)$  for all  $f$  in  $m(Z)$ .

We will show that the sequence  $(\phi_n)$  is  $w^*$  convergent to left invariance. For each  $n$ , let  $k(n)$  be the integer which satisfies  $b_n \in B_{k(n)}$ . Then  $n \geq 2^{k(n)} - 1$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_n(f) - \phi_n(l_1 f)| &= \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n f(b_i) - f(b_i + 1) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{k(n)} \sum_{\{i \leq n: b_i \in B_j\}} f(b_i) - f(b_i + 1) \right| \\ &\leq 2\|f\|k(n)/n \leq 2\|f\|k(n)/2^{k(n)-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\lim |\phi_n(f) - \phi_n(l_1 f)| = 0$ . Let  $\psi_n = \phi_{a(n)} + d(n)$  for each  $n$  in  $N$  and let  $\psi$  be a  $w^*$  limit point of the sequence  $(\psi_n)$ . Then  $\psi \in M(Z)$ . We have

$$\psi_n(\chi_D) = |D \cap \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{a(n)+d(n)}\}| / (a(n) + d(n)) = a(n) / (a(n) + d(n)).$$

We have  $a(n+1) = 3a(n)$  for  $n$  in  $N$ , and  $a(1) = 3$ . Hence  $a(n) = 3^n$  for each  $n$ . Also  $d(n+1) = 3d(n) + 2n$  for  $n$  in  $N$  and  $d(1) = 0$ . Hence  $d(n) = 1 - n + (3^n - 3)/2$ . This gives  $\psi_n(\chi_D) = 3^n / (3^n + 1 - n + (3^n - 3)/2) \geq 2/3$ , so  $\bar{d}(D) \geq \psi(\chi_D) \geq 2/3$ .

Note that if  $k \in N$ , every  $k$ -chain in  $D$  has length  $\leq a(k)$ . Also, if  $E$  is a  $k$ -chain of length  $n$  then  $(\sup E - \inf E) \leq (n-1)k$ , and if  $y - x \geq nk + k$  then  $[x, y]$  contains an interval of the form  $[p, p+k-1]$  which does not meet  $E$ . We will use the following lemma to show that  $D$  satisfies condition (2) for a  $C'$ -subset.

**4.4 Lemma.** Assume  $k \in N$ . Then for each  $j \in N$  there exists  $p_k(j) \in N$  such that, for any finite subset  $K$  of  $Z$  with  $|K| \leq k$  and for any  $z \in Z$ , there exists  $z_0 \in [z, z + p_k(j) - j]$  with  $[z_0, z_0 + j - 1] \cap (-K + D) = \emptyset$ .

**Proof.** The proof is by induction on  $k$ . Take  $p_1(j) = ja(j) + j$  for each  $j$  in  $N$ . Since  $D$  contains no  $j$ -chain of length  $\geq a(j)$ , neither does  $-s + A_0$  for any  $s$  in  $Z$ . Then, if  $z \in Z$ , the set  $[z, z + p_1(j) - 1] \cap (-s + A_0)$  is not a  $j$ -chain. Thus, there exists  $z_0 \in [z, z + p_1(j) - j]$  such that  $[z_0, z_0 + j - 1] \cap (-s + A_0) = \emptyset$ , so the  $p_1(j)$ 's satisfy the lemma.

Now, assume there exist  $p_n(j)$ 's satisfying the lemma. Let  $p_{n+1}(j) = p_n(p_1(j))$  for each  $j \in N$ . Suppose  $K \subset Z$  and  $1 \leq |K| \leq n+1$ . We can write  $K = K_n \cup \{a\}$  where  $|K_n| \leq n$ . Then  $-K + D = (-K_n + D) \cup (-a + D)$ . Suppose  $z \in Z$ . There exists  $z_0 \in [z, z + p_n(p_1(j)) - p_1(j)]$  such that  $[z_0, z_0 + p_1(j) - 1] \cap (-K_n + A_0) = \emptyset$ . There exists  $z'_0 \in [z_0, z_0 + p_1(j) - j]$  such that  $[z'_0, z'_0 + j - 1] \cap (-a + A_0) = \emptyset$ . Then  $z'_0$  is in  $[z, z + p_{n-1}(j) - j]$  and  $[z'_0, z'_0 + j - 1] \cap (-K_n + A_0) = \emptyset$ . Hence,  $[z'_0, z'_0 + j - 1] \cap (-K + A_0) = \emptyset$  and the  $p_{n+1}(j)$ 's satisfy the lemma.

To complete the proof of Theorem 4.3, let  $S_k = [0, p_k(1) - 1]$  for each  $k \in N$ . Then if  $s \in Z$ , we have  $S_k + s = [s, s + p_k(1) - 1]$ . Suppose  $K \subset Z$  and  $|K| \leq k$ . Then, by the lemma, there exists  $z \in [s, s + p_k(1) - 1]$  such that  $z \notin (-K + D)$ . Thus  $S_k + s \not\subset -K + A_0$  for all  $s \in Z$ , and  $D$  is a  $C'$ -subset of  $Z$ .

A *locally finite* group is a group in which every finite subset generates a finite subgroup. Note that the countable locally finite groups are precisely those groups which can be obtained as an increasing union of finite subgroups.

**4.5 Theorem.** *Let  $G$  be an infinite group such that  $G = \bigcup \{G_i : i \in N\}$  where each  $G_i$  is a finite subgroup of  $G$  and each  $G_i \subset G_{i+1}$ . Then if  $A$  is a left thick subset of  $G$ ,  $A$  contains a  $C$ -subset  $D$  of  $G$ . Moreover, if each  $G_i$  is normal in  $G$ , then  $A$  contains a  $C^1$ -subset of  $G$ .*

**Proof.** We can assume that  $[G_{i+1} : G_i] \geq 2^{i+1}$ . Let  $H_1 = G_1$ . There exists  $d_{1,1} \in G$  such that  $d_{1,1} \notin H_1$  and  $H_1 d_{1,1} \subset A$ . Choose  $G_q$  so that  $H_1 \cup H_1 d_{1,1} \subset G_q$  and let  $H_2 = G_q$ . Suppose we have defined  $H_1, \dots, H_n$  and  $d_{1,1}, \dots, d_{n-1,1}$  where  $n \geq 2$ . There exists  $d_{n,1} \in G$  such that  $d_{n,1} \notin H_n$  and  $H_n d_{n,1} \subset A$ . Choose  $G_p$  such that  $H_n \cup H_n d_{n,1} \subset G_p$  and let  $H_{n+1} = G_p$ . In this way we get a sequence  $(H_i)$  of subgroups of  $G$  such that  $G = \bigcup \{H_i : i \in N\}$ ,  $H_i \cup H_i d_{i,1} \subset H_{i+1}$ ,  $d_{i,1} \notin H_i$ , and  $[H_{i+1} : H_i] \geq 2^{i+1}$ . For each  $i$  in  $N$  let  $d_{i,0} = e$  and let  $\{d_{i,0}, d_{i,1}, \dots, d_{i,m(i)}\}$  be a right transversal of  $H_i$  in  $H_{i+1}$ , where  $d_{i,1}$  is as above. If  $i, j \in N$  and  $j > i$ , define

$$T_{i,j} = \{d_{i,p(i)} d_{i+1,p(i+1)} \cdots d_{j-1,p(j-1)} : 0 \leq p(r) \leq m(r) \text{ for } i \leq r \leq j-1\}$$

and let  $T_{i,i} = \{e\}$ . Let  $T_i = \bigcup \{T_{i,j} : j \geq i\}$ . Then  $T_{i,j}$  is a right transversal of  $H_i$  in  $H_j$  and  $T_i$  is a right transversal of  $H_i$  in  $G$ . Also,  $T_{i,j} T_{j,k} = T_{i,k}$  if  $i \leq j \leq k$ . If  $1 \leq i < k$ , define  $B_{k,i} = \bigcup \{H_i d_{i,1} t : t \in T_{i+1,k}\}$  and let  $B_k = \bigcup \{B_{k,i} : 1 \leq i < k\}$ . Let  $D = \bigcup \{(H_k \setminus B_k) d_{k,1} : k \geq 2\}$ .

For  $j \in N$ , define  $\phi_j \in M(G)$  by  $\phi_j(f) = |H_j|^{-1} \sum_{g \in H_j} f(g d_{j,1})$  for all  $f \in m(G)$ . Then if  $s \in H_j$  and  $f \in m(G)$  we have

$$\phi_j(f) - \phi_j(l_s f) = |H_j|^{-1} \left( \sum_{g \in H_j} f(g d_{j,1}) - \sum_{g \in H_j} f(s g d_{j,1}) \right) = 0,$$

so the sequence  $(\phi_j)$  is  $w^*$  convergent to left invariance. Let  $\phi$  be a  $w^*$  limit point of  $(\phi_j)$ . If  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |B_n| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |B_{n,i}| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |H_i| |T_{i+1,n}| = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |H_i| |H_n| / |H_{i+1}| \\ &\leq |H_n| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 2^{-(i+1)} \leq |H_n| / 2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\phi_n(\chi_{G \setminus D}) = |H_n|^{-1} |(G \setminus D) \cap H_n d_{n,1}| = |H_n|^{-1} |B_n| \leq 1/2$ . Thus  $\bar{d}(D) \geq \phi(\chi_D) \geq 1/2 > 0$ .

Now, let  $K$  be a finite subset of  $G$  and choose  $m$  so that  $K^{-1} \subset H_m$ . We will show that no right translate of  $H_{m+1}$  is contained in  $H_m D$ . We have  $H_m D = \bigcup \{H_m(H_i \setminus D_i)d_{i,1} : i \geq 2\} \subset H_m \cup H_m d_{m,1} \cup \bigcup \{(H_i \setminus H_m B_i)d_{i,1} : i \geq m+1\}$ . Suppose there exists  $d \in T_{m+1}$  such that  $H_{m+1}d \subset H_m D$ . We have  $H_m \cup H_m d_{m,1} \subset H_{m+1}$  and  $H_{m+1} \cap \bigcup \{(H_i \setminus H_m B_i)d_{i,1} : i \geq m+1\} = \emptyset$ . We cannot have  $H_{m+1}d \subset H_m \cup H_m d_{m,1}$  since  $[H_{m+1} : H_m] \geq 2^{m+1}$ . Hence we get  $H_{m+1}d \subset \bigcup \{(H_i \setminus H_m B_i)d_{i,1} : i \geq m+1\}$ . Since the  $H_i d_{i,1}$ 's are pairwise disjoint and  $H_{m+1} \subset H_i$  for each  $i \geq m+1$  this implies that there exists  $r \geq m+1$  such that  $H_{m+1}d \subset (H_r \setminus H_m B_r)d_{r,1}$ . Then we write  $H_{m+1}d = H_{m+1}d'd_{r,1}$  where  $d' \in T_{m+1,r}$ . We get  $H_{m+1}d' \cap H_m B_r = \emptyset$ . But  $H_m d_{m,1}d' \subset H_{m+1}d'$  and  $H_m d_{m,1}d' \subset B_r$  by the definition of  $B_r$ . Hence  $H_m d_{m,1}d' = H_m H_m d_{m,1}d' \subset H_m B_r$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore  $H_m D$  is not left thick, so by Theorem 4.1,  $\bar{d}(K^{-1}D) \leq \bar{d}(H_m D) < 1$ .

We will use the following lemma to complete the proof.

**4.6 Lemma.** *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 4.5, suppose each  $G_i$  is normal in  $G$  and let  $k$  be in  $N$ . Then for each  $j \in N$  there exists  $q(k, j) \in N$  such that for each subset  $K$  of  $G$  with  $|K| \leq k$  and for each right coset  $H_{q(k, j)}g$  of  $H_{q(k, j)}$  there is a coset  $H_j g'$  of  $H_j$  with  $H_j g' \subset H_{q(k, j)}g$  and  $H_j g' \cap K^{-1}D = \emptyset$ .*

**Proof.** The proof is by induction on  $k$ . Let  $q(1, j) = j + 2$  for each  $j \in N$ . We will show first that  $H_j d_{j,1}t \cap D = \emptyset$  for all  $t \in T_{j+1}$ ,  $t \neq e$ . Suppose there exists  $t \in T_{j+1}$  such that  $t \neq e$  and  $H_j d_{j,1}t \cap D \neq \emptyset$ . Then there is an integer  $i$  such that  $H_j d_{j,1}t \cap (H_i \setminus B_i)d_{i,1} \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $H_j d_{j,1}t \subset G \setminus H_{j+1}$  we must have  $i \geq j+1$  and  $H_j d_{j,1}t \subset H_i d_{i,1}$ . There exists  $t' \in T_{j+1,i}$  such that  $H_j d_{j,1}t = H_j d_{j,1}t' d_{i,1}$ . But by the definition of  $B_i$ ,  $H_j d_{j,1}t \subset B_i$ . Hence  $H_j d_{j,1}t' d_{i,1} \cap (H_i \setminus B_i)d_{i,1} = \emptyset$ , which gives a contradiction and proves our assertion.

Let  $g$  be a fixed element of  $G$ . Then  $g^{-1}H_j d_{j,1}t \cap g^{-1}D = \emptyset$  for all  $t \in T_{j+1}$ ,  $t \neq e$ . Let  $H_{q(1, j)}d$  be any coset of  $H_{q(1, j)}$ . Choose  $d' \in T_{q(1, j)}$  such that  $H_{q(1, j)}d' = H_{q(1, j)}gd$ . Let  $d'' = d_{j+1,1}$  if  $d' = e$  and let  $d'' = d'$  otherwise. Then  $g^{-1}H_{q(1, j)}d' = g^{-1}H_{q(1, j)}gd = H_{q(1, j)}d$ , and  $H_j(g^{-1}d_{j,1}d'') = g^{-1}H_j d_{j,1}d'' \subset g^{-1}H_{j+1}d'' \subset g^{-1}H_{q(1, j)}d' = H_{q(1, j)}d$ . Since  $d'' \in T_{j+1}$ , we get  $H_j(g^{-1}d_{j,1}d'') \cap g^{-1}D = g^{-1}H_j d_{j,1}d'' \cap g^{-1}D = \emptyset$ , which proves the lemma for  $k = 1$ .

Suppose the lemma holds for  $k = n$ . Let  $q(n+1, j) = q(n, q(1, j))$  for each  $j \in N$ . Assume  $K \subset G$  and  $1 \leq |K| \leq n+1$ . We can write  $K = J \cup \{s\}$  where  $1 \leq |J| \leq n$ . Let  $H_{q(n+1, j)}b$  be any coset of  $H_{q(n+1, j)}$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $H_{q(n+1, j)}b = H_{q(n, q(1, j))}b$  contains a coset  $H_{q(1, j)}b'$  of  $H_{q(1, j)}$  such that  $H_{q(1, j)}b' \cap J^{-1}D = \emptyset$ . Also  $H_{q(1, j)}b'$  contains a coset  $H_j b''$  of  $H_j$  such that  $H_j b'' \cap s^{-1}D = \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $H_j b'' \cap K^{-1}D = \emptyset$  and  $H_j b'' \subset H_{q(1, j)}b' \subset H_{q(n+1, j)}b$ , which proves the lemma.

To complete the proof of Theorem 4.5, assume each  $G_i$  is normal in  $G$  and let  $S_k = H_{q(k, 1)}$  for each  $k \in N$ . Suppose  $K \subset G$  and  $|K| \leq k$ . If  $s \in G$ , then  $S_k s = H_{q(k, 1)}s$  contains a coset  $H_1 d$  of  $H_1$  such that  $H_1 d \cap K^{-1}D = \emptyset$ . Thus  $S_k s \not\subset K^{-1}D$  for all  $s \in G$ . Therefore  $D$  is a  $C'$ -subset of  $G$ .

Suppose that  $G$  is a countably infinite left amenable group and that every left thick subset of  $G$  contains a  $C$ -subset. Then if  $A$  is any left thick subset of  $G$ , there is a set  $\mathcal{U}$  consisting of  $C$ -subsets of  $G$  which are contained in  $A$ , such that  $|\mathcal{U}| = c$  and  $D \cap E$  is finite for all  $D, E \in \mathcal{U}$  with  $D \neq E$ . (See Chou [1, p. 780]. The argument there can be generalized.) Hence if  $D, E \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $D \neq E$ , we have  $(D \setminus D) \cap (E \setminus E) = \emptyset$ . Since  $\text{supp } P\mu \subset \beta G \setminus G$  for each  $\mu \in \text{ML}(G)$ , we have shown that for each left thick subset  $A$  of  $G$  there is a set  $M_A$  of extreme left invariant means on  $G$  such that  $|M_A| = c$ ,  $\text{supp } P\mu \cap \text{supp } P\nu = \emptyset$  for  $\mu, \nu \in M_A$  with  $\mu \neq \nu$ , and  $\text{supp } P\mu$  is not a minimal set if  $\mu \in M_A$ .

**4.7 Theorem.** *Suppose  $G$  is an abelian group and suppose a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  has a  $C'$ -subset  $B'$  (of  $H$ ). Then there exists a  $C'$ -subset of  $G$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $T$  be a transversal of  $H$  in  $G$  such that  $e \in T$  and let  $B = TB'$ . Let  $\phi$  be a left invariant mean on  $m(H)$  such that  $\phi(\chi_B) > 0$  and let  $\mu$  be any left invariant mean on  $m(G)$ . If  $f \in m(G)$ , define  $Pf \in m(G)$  by  $Pf(g) = \phi(l_g f|_H)$  for all  $g \in G$ . Define  $\nu \in \text{ML}(G)$  by  $\nu(f) = \mu(Pf)$  for all  $f \in m(G)$ . Then  $\nu \in \text{ML}(G)$ . If  $b \in H$  and  $t \in T$  we have  $l_t \chi_B(b) = \chi_B(tb) = \chi_{B'}(b)$ , so  $(l_t \chi_B)|_H = \chi_{B'}$ . Hence  $P\chi_B(tb) = \phi(l_{tb} \chi_B|_H) = \phi(l_b \chi_B|_H) = \phi(\chi_{B'})$ . Thus  $\bar{\nu}(B) \geq \nu(\chi_B) = \mu(P\chi_B) \geq \phi(\chi_{B'}) > 0$ .

Suppose  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $S_k$  be a subset of  $H$  with the property that  $S_k b \not\subset J^{-1}B'$  for any  $b$  in  $H$  and for any subset  $J$  of  $H$  with  $|J| \leq k^2$ . Suppose  $K \subset G$  and  $|K| \leq k$ . We can write  $K^{-1} = \bigcup \{t_i K_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  where each  $K_i \subset H$ ,  $n \leq k$ , and  $t_1, \dots, t_n \in T$ . Let  $K' = \bigcup \{K_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  and let  $K_0 = \bigcup \{t_i K'_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ . Then  $K^{-1} \subset K_0$ ,  $|K'| \leq k$ , and  $|K_0| \leq k^2$ . We will show that  $S_k s \not\subset K_0 B$  for all  $s \in G$ . We have  $K_0 B = \bigcup \{t_i K' TB'\} = \bigcup \{t_i K' t B' : 1 \leq i \leq n, t \in T\}$ . For each  $i \leq n$  and  $t \in T$  let  $g_{i,t}$  be the unique element of  $T$  such that  $t_i K'_i t \subset g_{i,t} H$  and let  $K_{i,t} = g_{i,t}^{-1} t_i K'_i t \subset H$ . Then, for fixed  $i$ , the set  $\{g_{i,t} : t \in T\}$  is a transversal for  $H$  in  $G$ . If  $d \in T$ , let  $K_d = \bigcup \{K_{i,t} : g_{i,t} = d\}$ . Note that  $|K_{i,t}| = |K'_i| \leq k$ , so  $|K_d| \leq n|K'| \leq k^2$ . We have  $K_0 B = \bigcup \{g_{i,t} K_{i,t} B' : 1 \leq i \leq n, t \in T\} = \bigcup \{d K_d B' : d \in T\}$ . Also,  $S_k t H \subset H t b = t H$  for all  $t \in T$  and  $b \in H$ . Suppose  $S_k t b \subset K_0 B$  for some  $t \in T$  and  $b \in H$ . Then we would have  $t S_k b = S_k t b \subset K_0 B \cap t H = t K_t B'$ . This gives  $S_k b \subset K_t B_0$ , which contradicts the definition of  $S_k$ . Hence  $S_k g \not\subset K_0 B \supset K^{-1} B$  for all  $g \in G$ , so  $B$  is a  $C'$  subset of  $G$ .

**4.8 Corollary.** *If  $G$  is an infinite abelian group then  $G$  has a  $C'$ -subset and there is an extreme left invariant mean  $\phi$  such that  $\phi$  is not in the  $w^*$  closed convex hull of the set  $\{\mu \in \text{ML}(G) : \text{supp } P\mu \text{ is a minimal set}\}$ .*

**Proof.**  $G$  has either a subgroup which is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$  or a countable periodic subgroup. Thus, by 4.3 and 4.5,  $G$  has a subgroup with a  $C'$ -subset. By 4.7,  $G$  has a  $C'$ -subset and Corollary 3.12 gives the result.

The next theorem can be proved by methods similar to those used in the proof of Theorem 4.7. We omit the proof.

**4.9 Theorem.** *If  $S$  is an infinite abelian cancellation semigroup, then  $S$  has a  $C'$ -subset.*

**4.10 Proposition.** *Let  $G$  be a left amenable group with a normal subgroup  $H$ . Suppose  $G/H$  contains a  $C$ -subset [ $C'$ -subset]  $B$ . Then  $G$  contains a  $C$ -subset [ $C'$ -subset].*

**Proof.** Let  $T$  be a transversal of  $H$  in  $G$  and let  $T_0 = \{t \in T: tH \in B\}$ . Let  $\phi$  be a left invariant mean on  $m(G/H)$  such that  $\phi(\chi_B) > 0$  and let  $\psi$  be any left invariant mean on  $m(H)$ . If  $f \in m(G)$ ,  $t \in T$ , and  $b \in H$ , then  $\psi(l_{tb}f|_H) = \psi(l_b l_t f|_H) = \psi(l_t f|_H)$ . If  $f \in m(G)$ , define  $Pf \in m(G/H)$  by  $Pf(tH) = \psi(l_t f|_H)$ ; then  $Pf$  does not depend on  $T$ . If we let  $\mu(f) = \phi(Pf)$  for all  $f \in m(G)$ , then  $\mu$  is a left invariant mean on  $m(G)$ . Let  $A = T_0 H \subset G$ . If  $tH \in B$ , then  $tH \subset A$  so  $H \subset t^{-1}A$  and  $l_t \chi_A(b) = \chi_{t^{-1}A}(b) = 1$  for all  $b \in H$ . Thus  $P\chi_A(tH) = 1$ . If  $tH \in B$  then  $A \cap tH = \emptyset$ , so  $t^{-1}A \cap H = \emptyset$  and  $l_t \chi_A(b) = 0$  for all  $b \in H$ . This gives  $P\chi_A(tH) = 0$ . Thus,  $P\chi_A = \chi_B$  and  $\bar{d}(A) \geq \mu(\chi_A) = \phi(\chi_B) > 0$ .

Let  $K$  be a finite subset of  $G$  and let  $i$  be the canonical map of  $G$  onto  $G/H$ . Let  $J \subset G/H$  be a finite set such that  $Jy \not\subset i(K)^{-1}B$  for all  $y \in G/H$ . Let  $V = \{t \in T: tH \in J\}$ . Suppose there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $Vg \subset K^{-1}A$ . Then we would have  $Ji(g) = i(Vg) \subset i(K^{-1}A) = i(K)^{-1}B$  which is impossible by the choice of  $J$ . Hence  $A$  is a  $C$ -subset of  $G$ .

Now assume  $B$  is a  $C'$ -subset of  $G/H$ . If  $k \in N$ , let  $S_k$  be a finite subset of  $G/H$  such that  $S_k y \subset K^{-1}B$  for all  $K \subset G/H$  with  $|K| \leq k$  and for all  $y \in G/H$ . Let  $V_k = \{t \in T: tH \in S_k\}$ . Then if  $J \subset G$ ,  $|J| \leq k$ , and  $g \in G$ , the assertion  $V_k g \subset J^{-1}A$  would imply that  $S_k i(g) \subset i(J)^{-1}B$ , which contradicts the definition of  $S_k$ . Therefore  $A$  is a  $C'$ -subset of  $G$ .

**4.11 Proposition.** *Let  $G$  be a left amenable group and let  $H$  be a subgroup of finite index in  $G$ . Suppose  $A$  is a  $C$ -subset [ $C'$ -subset] of  $H$ . Then  $A$  is a  $C$ -subset [ $C'$ -subset] of  $G$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\phi$  be a left invariant mean on  $m(H)$  such that  $\phi(\chi_A) > 0$ . If  $f \in m(G)$ , define  $Pf \in m(G)$  by  $Pf(g) = \phi(l_g f|_H)$  for all  $g \in G$ . Choose  $\psi \in Ml(G)$  and define  $\mu \in M(G)$  by  $\mu(f) = \psi(Pf)$  for all  $f \in m(G)$ . Then  $\mu \in Ml(G)$ . If  $b \in H$ , then  $P\chi_A(b) = \phi(l_b \chi_A|_H) = \phi(\chi_A)$ . If  $g \in G \setminus H$ , then  $P\chi_A(g) = \phi(l_g \chi_A|_H) = \phi(\chi_{g^{-1}A \cap H}) = 0$ . Thus  $P\chi_A = \phi(\chi_A)\chi_H$ . Also,  $\mu(\chi_H) = [G:H]^{-1}$ . Thus  $\bar{d}(A) \geq \mu(\chi_A) = \phi(\chi_A)\mu(\chi_H) > 0$ . It is clear that  $A$  satisfies the second condition for a  $C$ -subset [ $C'$ -subset] of  $G$ .

**4.12 Theorem.** *Every infinite solvable group  $G$  contains a  $C'$ -subset.*

**Proof.** Let  $H_0 = \{e\}$ ,  $H_1, \dots, H_n = G$  be a normal series for  $G$  such that  $H_i/H_{i-1}$  is abelian for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Since  $G$  is infinite we can define  $m = \sup\{i: H_i/H_{i-1} \text{ is infinite}\}$ . Then by 4.8,  $H_m/H_{m-1}$  has a  $C'$ -subset. Thus, by

4.10,  $H_m$  contains a  $C'$ -subset. If  $H_m = G$  we are done. Otherwise, for  $0 \leq p \leq n - m - 1$ ,  $H_{m+p}$  contains a  $C'$ -subset implies, by 4.11, that  $H_{m+p+1}$  contains a  $C'$ -subset. By induction,  $H_n = G$  contains a  $C'$ -subset.

We do not know whether there are any left amenable semigroups  $S$ , aside from those which have finite invariant subsets in  $\beta S$  (see [5]), which do not have  $C$ -subsets.

#### REFERENCES

1. C. Chou, *Minimal sets and ergodic measures for  $\beta N \setminus N$* , Illinois J. Math. 13 (1969), 777–788. MR 40 #2814.
2. M. M. Day, *Amenable semigroups*, Illinois J. Math. 1 (1957), 509–544. MR 19, 1067.
3. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, University Series in Higher Math., Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1960. MR 22 #6994.
4. W. H. Gottschalk and G. A. Hedlund, *Topological dynamics*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 36, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1955. MR 17, 650.
5. A. Lau, *Topological semigroups with invariant means in the convex hull of the multiplicative means*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 148 (1970), 69–84. MR 41 #1911.
6. T. Mitchell, *Constant functions and left invariant means on semigroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 119 (1965), 244–261. MR 33 #1743.
7. R. R. Phelps, *Lectures on Choquet's theorem*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1966. MR 33 #1690.
8. C. Wilde, *On amenable semigroups and applications of the Stone-Čech compactification*, Thesis, University of Illinois, Urbana, Ill., 1964.
9. C. Wilde and K. Witz, *Invariant means and the Stone-Čech compactification*, Pacific J. Math 21 (1967), 577–586. MR 35 #3423.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SUNY, COLLEGE AT PLATTSBURGH, PLATTSBURGH, NEW YORK 12901